

From: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 6:24 PM
To: PortlandHarbor
Subject: FW: Portland Harbor Superfund Cleanup Plan

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Saturday, September 03, 2016 7:09 AM
To: HarborComments <HarborComments@epa.gov>
Subject: Portland Harbor Superfund Cleanup Plan

Dear Administrator Gina McCarthy,

The Willamette Superfund Draft Cleanup Plan discriminates that women won't be allowed to eat the fish out of the water. That isn't fair. And, likely won't be followed. have you been down to the river? although some of the Fishermen are hobby fishermen often the are immigrants who won't understand the sign or poor just trying to feed their families. It is unrealistic they won't consume the fish as a family, man, woman, and vulnerable children.

I went to a presentation where some of the Shell family members and their engineers were explaining what they did to shore up the hazards. I also went to a presentation about the property that is now the UP lower campus. They did a really good job. This is our mess, se should fix it.

Please consider plan G

The current plan would leave more than 85% of the site contaminated, negatively impacting our community's human health and the health of our wildlife for decades to come. This is unacceptable. More than 140 businesses and other entities are responsible for the pollution and should be held accountable.

Please improve the plan by doing the following:

1. EPA should select Alternative G with additional dredging in areas of high human use, areas of high ecological value, and areas where there is high risk of recontamination of the river.
2. EPA should set much clearer timelines and metrics for success including setting an explicit date by which Portland Harbor specific fish consumption advisories will be lifted.
3. EPA should select an alternative that allows all members of our community to eat fish safely from our river, including woman of childbearing age and children.
4. EPA's cleanup plan should include the heavily contaminated uplands as well as the heavily contaminated river.
5. EPA should do a much better job of addressing environmental justice issues, including reviewing and correcting significant deficiencies in its public engagement strategies for future phases of the Superfund process and delineating strategies for ensuring the jobs, economic benefits and other benefits associated with the Superfund process to the local community and particularly to underserved communities that have been impacted by contamination in Portland Harbor.

6. EPA should eliminate the confined disposal facility which would create a permanent toxic waste dump in our river.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)

Portland, OR 97202